

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1   3	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, CARDEROCK CODE 3324, LESLEY WISE 9500 MACARTHUR BLVD. WEST BETHESDA MD 20817-5700		CODE N00167		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)  <b>See Item 6</b>		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N00167-01-R-0023	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 20-Apr-2001	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended.  Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A.THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B.THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C.THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D.OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) See Page 2.							
<small>Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.</small>							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  18-Jun-2001	

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The purpose of this amendment is to answer questions asked by various offerors and to extend the closing time and date of the solicitation.

The following responses and items are provided :

**Question 1.** The Department of Labor requires that employees be paid at least the minimum amounts specified in the Wage Determination schedules in place for the location in which the work is being performed. Amendment 0002, answer 3 estimates that all required labor will be divided equally between San Diego and Norfolk, however the REP still requires work throughout the world and other Navy facilities. Accordingly an offeror could anticipate performing work in places such as Washington, D.C., Philadelphia, PA; Bremerton, WA; Mayport, FL, Pascagoula, MS; Ingleside, TX and the like. If an offeror prices only Norfolk and San Diego as is required by the solicitation, yet is required to perform in locations with higher DOL rates than that of San Diego and Norfolk, will an equitable adjustment be allowed for the T&M rates? And, given the circumstances noted, would the government consider changing this to a Cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPPF) contract instead of a Time & Material (T&M) contract?

**Answer 1:** As mentioned in Amendment 0002, Answer 3., in part, “....Any additional tasking on forward deployed ships will be on a case by case basis”. The Government does not anticipate any significant effort in areas other than the east coast (Norfolk, VA.) and the west coast (San Diego, CA) areas. However, in the event this situation arises, the estimated costs for such a requirement shall be included under the Not To Exceed (NTE) Support Costs (Materials and Travel) and will be negotiated under the individual delivery order for that action on a CPPF basis which will allow for an equitable adjustment at that time.

**Question 2.** Does the government require that offeror’s maintain facilities in Norfolk and San Diego? Please clarify this requirement. Your response will have a significant impact on pricing, and the actual requirements for travel dollars to accomplish the anticipated work. Further, if offeror’s do not have to have facilities in both locations, how will the government evaluate the actual cost disadvantage that will be incurred for an offeror with a location in only one port (since significant travel dollars will be required for that offeror to accomplish work on the coast opposite from its facility)?

**Answer 2:** This question was answered in Amendment 02, Answer 5 which stated, in part, ...that formal warehousing is not required.....Any references to storage or facilities are for the purpose of staging material for an installation. Solicitation Paragraph 3.8.1 states “The contractor shall provide the manpower, materials, facilities, portable workshops similar to existing CDNSW CONEX boxes, and material staging centers to accomplish field service requirements”. This solicitation requires the offeror to have some kind of pre-fabrication facility in both Norfolk and San Diego areas in order to complete some pre-installation work. Alternatively, the offeror may make subcontract arrangements with local workshops and use them as prefabrication facilities in their offer to the Government.

**Question 3.** Section B, as revised by amendment 0002 specifies Off-Site hours east and west coast for all labor categories. For pricing purposes, can the offeror assume that these off-site hours that will be performed on the government’s site will be accomplished by contractor employees that work full time (approx. 9 months out of 12) at the government site, thus allowing application of a less than full indirect rate to those employee’s hours? Or, are these off-site hours simply those hours expected to be performed on a navy vessel as part of an installation by personnel fully supported by corporate facilities?

**Answer 3:** The reason Section B, as revised by amendment 0002 specifies Off-site hours and On-site hours is to permit offerors to apply any indirect rates such as G&A etc. against the appropriate cost pools for performing effort at the contractor's site and at the Government's site. Which individuals, whether corporate or wage grade, an offeror ultimately chooses to utilize to fulfill the requirement as full-time or part-time for both on-site and off-site effort must be determined by each offeror.

**Question 4.** The Department of Labor Wage Determination schedules do not provide direct correlation to the RFP labor categories. Specifically, there are no clear matches for Shipfitter, Driver, Purchase Agent, ILS Technician, or Admin/Typist. To ensure offerors price bids in a similar manner, please clarify by indicating to which DOL positions these categories correlate.

**Answer 4:** For solicitation labor categories not specifically listed in DOL Wage Determination schedules, the offeror is encouraged to use the Wage Determination labor rates from the category which best matches the services expected from the solicitation's labor category. For example, Admin/Typist labor category matches with the labor category of Secretary; ILS Technician labor category matches with the labor category of Computer Data Processing Technician; Purchase Agent category matches with Contract or Sales Agent; Shipfitter category matches with marine technician/Plumber/Mechanic or other similar category; and Driver category matches with Material Handling Technician or Warehouse or Supply agent.

**Question 5.** The fact that this offer is a T&M, DOL Wage Determination bid, combined with the fact that the government has directed offerors to assume performance in only two locations for pricing purposes, while still retaining the right to require work at other Navy facilities which may require payment of higher rates, appears to place an undue risk burden on the offer that could easily be eliminated if the government would change the requirement to a CPFF bid. Further, the clear level of uncertainty with regard to level of effort, location of effort, timing of tasking, type of tasking, etc. appears to more closely approach the conditions under which CPFF contracting is most appropriate. Will the government change this requirement to CPFF?

**Answer 5:** As mentioned in Answer 1 above, the Government does not anticipate any significant effort in areas other than the east coast (Norfolk, VA.) and the west coast (San Diego, CA) areas. However, in the event this situation arises, the estimated costs for such a requirement shall be included under the Not To Exceed (NTE) Support Costs (Materials and Travel) and will be negotiated under the individual delivery order for that action at that time on a CPFF basis. The Government does not intend to change this overall requirement to a CPFF type contract since the uncertainty regarding level of effort, location of effort, timing of tasks, and type of tasking is appropriately provided for by the Time and Material, ID/IQ, Delivery Order form of contract.

**Item 6:** The closing date for the solicitation is extended through **2:00 P.M. 29 June 2001.**

**Item 7:** Offerors are notified that no further questions will be permitted after 3:30 P.M. on 20 June 2001. Additionally, the Government anticipates no further extensions to this solicitation.